

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington; DC. 20231 www.uspto.jjov

PAPER NUMBER

		;	¥ *	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/267,973	03/12/1999	LEONID ANTHONY TURKEVICH	- 12161.2	7809
7	590 04/26/2002			
WILLIAM E. MAYCOCK			EXAMINER	
KIMBERLY-CLARK CORPORATION 401 NORTH LAKE STREET GRAY, JILL M			JILL M	
NEENAH, WI	54956			

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2002

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- L	_		VIE 11			
7	Application No.	Applicant(s)	79			
Advisory Action	09/267,973	TURKEVICH ET AL				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jill M Gray	1774				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2002 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (fondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the supplication and the supplication are supplied to the supplication of the supplied to the supp	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date o	•		anda latan da ma			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten (7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three materials are proposed to the shortened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THATE THE OF WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE OF T	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate tee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 						
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.			
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	15				
0.□ Other:	SU	CYNTHIA H. KEI PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER			
Patent and Trademark Office		MAN CANA				

U.S. Pakent and Trademark Offic PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 150

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitations set forth in claims 50 and 9 are clearly suggested by the prior art teachings.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700